



REPUBLIKA SLOVENIJA  
SLUŽBA VLADE REPUBLIKE SLOVENIJE ZA RAZVOJ  
IN EVROPSKO KOHEZIJSKO POLITIKO



## ***Slovenski štipendijski sklad SI04***

### **Akcija 5: Projekti med-institucionalnega sodelovanja na področju izobraževanja in usposabljanja**

## **OBRAZEC ZA OCENJEVANJE**

RAZLIČICA 2015

## PODROBNA OCENA

Referenčna št. projekta	#N/A
Naslov projekta:	#N/A
Organizacija prijaviteljica:	#N/A
Skupni znesek zaprošenih sredstev v EUR (iz vloge):	
Predlagani odobreni znesek v EUR:	

*Note on the points system: Each criterion should be rated on the scale proposed. The ratings of the quality criteria result in a total number of points out of a maximum of 110. Each application is rated by 2 assessors and the average of the marks will be used as the final marking for quality. Experts should use numbers with decimals when giving points for one or more of the items in the quality assessment form in order to avoid too many assessments with the same total number of points. Please refer to the Programme Operator (CMEPIUS) on how to deal with significant differences between the points given by the two assessors. Please note that applications scoring less than 50 points in the quality assessment will not be selected for funding.*

	Merila za dodeljevanje točk	Najvišje število točk	Št. točk	Skupaj
1	<b>Objectives of the Partnership and relevance to the objectives of the programme</b>	20	15. Zelo dobro	20
1a	<b>The objectives of the Partnership are relevant for the EEA/Norway Grants programme.</b> The objectives and the subject of the partnership are in compliance objectives and policy context outlined in the call. They correspond to the objectives and characteristics of EEA/Norway Grants Scheme.	10	10. Very good	10
1b	<b>The objectives of the Partnership are relevant to the sectors/regions/countries involved.</b> Context and motivation indicate clearly that the objectives concern important issues in the participating regions, countries.	10	10. Very good	10
2	<b>Work programme and project management</b>	30		30

2a	<b>The approach chosen to achieve the objectives is clear and realistic.</b> General approach, activities and outcomes/results are well planned and have a clear potential to reach the objectives of the project.	10	<b>10. Very good</b>	10
2b	<b>There is an appropriate balance between the roles and tasks of the different participants in terms of their involvement in the activities to be carried out.</b> There is an appropriate and clearly defined distribution of tasks across the Partnership. The contribution of each partner is clearly explained. The Partnership coordination is well assured by the coordinating institution.	10	<b>10. Very good</b>	10
2c	<b>Appropriate measures have been planned to ensure effective communication and cooperation between the participating institutions.</b> Appropriate measures are foreseen to ensure communication and cooperation such as meetings, workshops, regular correspondence, newsletters and other forms of exchange of information.	10	<b>10. Very good</b>	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Impact and bilateral added value</b>	<b>20</b>		<b>20</b>
3a	<b>The expected results, impact and benefits of the Partnership on participating regions are clear, realistic and well defined.</b> The participating regions have a clear view on the possible impacts and effect of the partnership activities. They demonstrate the ability to steer the project in a way that impacts are relevant for all stakeholders.	10	<b>10. Very good</b>	10
3b	<b>The Partnership has defined an approach to monitor and evaluate whether the objectives and the expected impact of the Partnership will be achieved in the course of the project lifecycle.</b> The monitoring and evaluation plan is well defined and covers aspects such as follow-up of progress made and Partnership performance, satisfaction of participants and other target groups, attainment of objectives, measurement of impact.	10	<b>10. Very good</b>	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Dissemination and use of results - sustainability</b>	<b>10</b>		<b>10</b>
3a	<b>The planned dissemination and exploitation activities are well defined and ensure optimal use of the results amongst the participating regions.</b> The dissemination activities are focused and well defined. They integrate different levels of dissemination (sectoral, regional and national level). The Partnership demonstrates the interest/potential to make use of the results, experiences and, where applicable, end products of the Partnership.	10	<b>10. Very good</b>	10
<b>4</b>	<b>Proposed activity and mobility data</b>	<b>15</b>		<b>15</b>
4a	<b>The work programme covers the whole period of the project. The planned activities (including mobility if foreseen) of each partner are relevant.</b>	15	<b>15. Very good</b>	15

5	Coherence of budget planning, value for money	5		5
5a	The budget is in line with the work activities. The proposal offers good value for money	5	05. Very good	5
6	National priorities	10	Ne	10
	<b>Skupaj (točk)</b>			<b>110</b>
<b>OVERALL COMMENTS:</b>				
	Komentar: ABC			
<b>KEY STRENGTHS:</b>				
	Komentar: ABC			
<b>WEAKNESSES AND AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT</b>				
	Komentar: ABC			
<b>OTHER COMMENTS;</b>				
	Komentar: ABC			