



REPUBLIKA SLOVENIJA  
SLUŽBA VLADE REPUBLIKE SLOVENIJE ZA RAZVOJ  
IN EVROPSKO KOHEZIJSKO POLITIKO



## **Slovenski štipendijski sklad SI04**

### **Akcija 4: Projekti med-institucionalnega sodelovanja v visokem šolstvu (Intenzivni programi)**

## **OBRAZEC ZA OCENJEVANJE**

RAZLIČICA 2015

## PODROBNA OCENA

Referenčna št. projekta	#REF!
Naslov projekta:	#REF!
Organizacija prijaviteljica:	#REF!
Skupni znesek zaprosenih sredstev v EUR (iz vloge):	
Predlagani odobreni znesek v EUR:	

*Izpolnite obrazec tako, da vnesete komentar in število točk za vsakega od naslednjih razdelkov. Vaša ocena naj temelji na ključnih značilnostih vsakega razdelka. Vsako merilo mora biti ocenjeno s predlagano lestvico. Število točk za merilo o kakovosti pomeni najvišje število točk od skupno 130 točk. Za prijave, za katere se bo pri ocenjevanju kakovosti dodelilo manj kot 50 točk, se ne bodo dodelila finančna sredstva.*

	Merila za dodeljevanje točk	Najvišje število točk	Št. točk
<b>A</b>	<b>Relevance</b>		
A1	The benefits of European cooperation in providing intensive teaching on the subject concerned – i.e. the added value of offering the IP, compared to existing courses at the level of the participating institutions - are clear and well defined.	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>
A2	The link to the operational objectives of EEA/Norway Grants Programme is clear and well defined.		
A3	The IP presents a strong multidisciplinary approach, fostering the interaction of students from different academic disciplines.		
<b>B</b>	<b>Quality of the objectives and innovative character</b>		
B1	The objectives and rationale of the IP are clear and realistic, and the background is clear.	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>
B2	The IP clearly addresses a relevant subject for which there is a demonstrable need.		

B3	The IP will provide something significantly new in terms of learning opportunities, skills development, access to information etc., for the participating students and teachers.		
<b>C</b>	<b>Methodology and work programme</b>		
C1	The methodology is appropriate for achieving the objectives; the pedagogical and didactical approach is clearly described.	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>
C2	The target groups are identified; the selection method of the participant students is well defined.		
C3	The ratio of staff to students guarantees active classroom participation.		
C3	The work programme is of good quality and will ensure the delivery of the stated objectives and learning outcomes.		
<b>D</b>	<b>Learning outcomes, ECTS and recognition</b>		
D1	The expected learning outcomes are appropriate.	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>
D2	The proposal describes the provisions how the workload of participating students undertaken within the IP will be recognised through ECTS (or otherwise equivalent) credits and how the studies undertaken within the IP will be recognised in the curricula of the participating students by their home institution.		
D3	In addition to the learning outcomes on subject-related competences, the proposed IP favours adequately the transmission of transversal competences.		
<b>E</b>	<b>Partnership, project management, monitoring and evaluation</b>		
E1	The partnership is of good quality. The task distribution among the partners is organised in such a way that the results can be achieved and all partners are actively involved.	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>
E2	There is an appropriate balance between partners in terms of their competences and their involvement in the activities to be carried out.		
E3	Among the partners, appropriate measures have been planned to ensure effective communication and cooperation.		
E4	The financial and contractual arrangements are clearly spelled out and will ensure an effective management of the IP. The applicant makes sure that the funds received for the mobility activities (subsistence and travel costs) will be used for this purpose and managed in a transparent way (The calculations for subsistence and travel costs do not need to be checked, as it will be done by the Programme Operator. The coherence between the budget and the work programme and project deliverables shall be assessed.)		
E5	There is a clear description of effective monitoring and evaluation measures of the IP.		
<b>F</b>	<b>Dissemination and Exploitation of Results; Impact of the IP</b>		

F1	The planned dissemination and exploitation activities are well defined and ensure optimal use of the results in the participating institutions and, if possible, in the wider community.	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>
F2	Use ICT tools and services to support the follow-up of the IP, thereby contributing to the creation of a sustainable learning community in the subject area concerned.		
F3	The results envisaged are relevant and will have a demonstrable potential impact on the quality of teaching provided in the subject area concerned at the participating institutions.		
F4	The applicant indicates multiplier effects and possible spin-offs of the Intensive Programme.		
<b>G</b>	<b>NACIONALNA PRIORITETA</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>
G1	Ali je prijavitelj prejel sredstva iz Slovenskega štipendijskega sklada 04 v razpisu 2013, 2014? (DA = 0 točk, NE =10 točk)	10	<b>Ne</b>
	<b>Skupaj (točk)</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>130</b>

Razdelek H bo posredovan prijaviteljem.

## Obrazec za povratne informacije

Referenčna št. projekta:	#REF!
Naslov projekta:	#REF!

### H. OVERALL COMMENTS

*The comments should relate to your assessment of the strengths, weaknesses and potential of the application, relative to the award criteria. The comments should justify the assessment conclusion.*

*Please formulate them very carefully as your comments will be sent to the applicant.*

**According to the table below, indicate:**

**1) STRENGTHS (+):**

**2) WEAKNESSES (-):**

**3) POTENTIAL OF THE APPLICATION:**

### A. Relevance

*Komentarji:*

#REF!

### B. Quality of the objectives and innovative character

*Komentarji:*

#REF!

### C. Methodology and work programme

*Komentarji:*

#REF!

### D. Learning outcomes, ECTS and recognition

*Komentarji:*

#REF!

### E. Partnership, project management, monitoring and evaluation

*Komentarji:*

#REF!

### F. Dissemination and Exploitation of Results; Impact of the IP

*Komentarji:*

#REF!

## I. Ocena (povzetek)

	Najvišje število točk	Skupaj
A. Relevance	20	20
B. Quality of the objectives and innovative character	20	20
C. Methodology and work programme	20	20
D. Learning outcomes, ECTS and recognition	20	20
E. Partnership, project management, monitoring and evaluation	20	20
F. Dissemination and exploitation of results; impact of the IP	20	20
G. Nacionalna prioriteta	10	10
Skupaj (točk)	130	130